

When a Porn Company Tries to Take the High Moral Ground

A major porn website has announced that it is blocking North Carolina users in protest of HB2, the Public Facilities Privacy and Security Act.

So, North Carolina decides to keep its bathrooms and locker rooms safe from sexual predators, and a porn company gets morally indignant.

Oh, the irony. (For the bathroom side of the bill, see my questions to Bruce Springsteen; for the religious protections side, see the points made by Ryan Anderson; for Gov. McCrory's partial compromise, while standing strong on other key points, see [here](#).)

An Internet porn company, by definition, is not only immoral but literally traffics in immorality, and every dime it makes is immoral.

It degrades and exploits women (and men), marks them for lifelong shame, takes advantage of older teens and young ladies wanting to make easy money, turns sex into a filthy business, contributes to the destruction of marriages, leads to harmful addictions and even pollutes innocent children, who are being exposed to Internet porn at younger and younger ages.

Some pornography goes even further in terms of its destructive activities and effects.

So, if there is "dirty money" being made anywhere, it is being made by the pornography industry, which is anything but a victimless business.

Yet a porn company is so upset with the North Carolina

government that it will not do business with customers living in that state.

As a current North Carolina resident, I say, "Thank you!"

Perhaps a few less men, women and children will be taken captive in your snares.

Of course, these days, porn websites are ubiquitous. But perhaps, just perhaps, a North Carolina resident who was going to this website and gets blocked will feel a pang of conscience. Perhaps it will be a struggling Christian who will take this as a sign from the Lord to stop and get help. Let it be!

And perhaps this porn company could start a trend so that other porn companies would also boycott North Carolina.

What a delightful thought!

As for the moral absurdity of a porn company boycotting North Carolina's bill, that would be like the Mafia boycotting a company because it intimidated its workers.

Or bartenders boycotting a car service because its drivers drank while on the job.

Or strippers boycotting a clothing store because its blouses were immodest.

Or prostitutes boycotting customers because they weren't respectful to women.

The big difference here is that the porn company is boycotting North Carolina for doing what's right rather than what's wrong.

As I said before, oh, the irony.

Not to be outdone, actress Sharon Stone, who became famous for playing a psychopathic, bisexual, icepick murderer in a

sexually explicit film, has decided to boycott Mississippi because of that state's religious freedoms bill.

And then there's Ringo Starr, who toured Russia with his all-star band in 1998 but will not perform in North Carolina this June, Russia, of course, being famous for its gay-friendly, trans-embracing policies, a bastion of "equality"!

Which reminds me of PayPal's hypocrisy and the hypocrisy of other major companies threatening North Carolina and Mississippi and other states which are standing for public safety and religious freedom.

Did I say "oh, the irony"?