

Treason: Obama's 'Gitmo Five'

You were already thinking it.

Treason.

For Richard Nixon, it was a cover-up surrounding illegal wiretapping. For Bill Clinton, it was lying under oath about sex with an intern. Obama thinks he's untouchable. He believes he's above the law.

Evidently, he's right.

What will it take for our spineless U.S. Congress to impeach this tyrant? This is way beyond partisan politics. This is about justice. This is about the safety of the American people. Barack Hussein Obama is America's biggest threat to national security. He is "an enemy within."

It's official. America is no longer a constitutional republic. Under this "progressive" nut, we've become a banana republic.

The president's latest act of lawlessness is beyond the pale. There is no question whatsoever that by freeing—to kill again—five known, mass-murdering, high-level Muslim terrorists in exchange for accused Army deserter Bowe Bergdahl, Obama broke the law.

Not only did he unilaterally and arbitrarily trash the U.S. government's long-standing policy never to negotiate with terrorists, he intentionally and overtly violated a 2013 national defense bill that unambiguously requires he give Congress 30-days notice before releasing any Guantanamo Bay prisoners, much less five of its most deadly.

As ABC News reports: " 'Trading five senior Taliban leaders from detention in Guantanamo Bay for Berghdal's release may have consequences for the rest of our forces and all Americans. Our terrorist adversaries now have a strong

incentive to capture Americans. That incentive will put our forces in Afghanistan and around the world at even greater risk,' said House Armed Services Chairman Howard P. 'Buck' McKeon, R-Calif., and Senate Armed Services Ranking Member James Inhofe, R-Okla., in a joint statement."

Bingo.

Let the American kidnapping spree begin. The Muslim world is celebrating this as a huge victory in its anti-American jihad.

The Muslim world is right.

As McKeon and Inhofe predicted, the Taliban has already announced that Obama's unilateral surrender has "encouraged" them to kidnap more Americans to trade for terrorists.

Is anyone surprised?

Is Obama?

No way.

Even many in the liberal media are flummoxed by this one, with, for example, MSNBC producer Steven Bannen reluctantly admitting that Obama was likely "acting outside the confines of the law."

Let me help you with that, Steve. By "acting outside the confines of the law," you mean to say that Obama "broke the law."

We have a word for people who break the law: They're called criminals.

This one is so over-the-top that, in a rare moment of lucidity, even ultra-liberal Sen. Diane Feinstein, D-Calif., has temporarily emerged from her sycophantic shell to publicly condemn Obama, the de facto leader of the Democratic Party, saying that she was "very disappointed" he failed to comply

with the law. This "White House is pretty unilateral about what they want to do when they want to do it," she fumed.

Understatement of the decade.

Merriam-Webster defines "treason" as, "the crime of trying to overthrow your country's government or of helping your country's enemies during war."

Whether Obama is intentionally trying to overthrow his own government is open for debate. But that he has "helped his country's enemies during war" is a slam dunk.

The amazing thing? This arrogant clown admits to it: "Is there a possibility of some of them trying to return to activities that are detrimental to us?" Obama asked rhetorically of the five terrorists he just cut loose. "Absolutely," he answered.

Possibility? It's a guarantee. There is no doubt that Americans will die as a direct result of Obama's demonstrably illegal actions here—no doubt whatsoever. With most of these monsters in their 40s, they've got decades to kill as many Americans as they can get their blood-soaked hands on.

According to ABC News, the U.S. Defense Department has classified at least three of the five as a "'HIGH' threat to U.S. security interests if released." Moreover, at least two are even now "wanted by the United Nations for possible war crimes including the murder of thousands of Shiite Muslims." Fox News reported that in one instance, the men lined up hundreds of Shiites and slit their throats in front of their wives and children.

All have ties to al-Qaida.

This defies logic. It defies reason. It defies morality. It defies law. It's either criminally stupid or criminally sinister.

I believe the latter.

What Obama has done here is worse than if he had personally released five rabid pit bulldogs into a playground full of children. If a person owns a dog known to be violent and that dog attacks another, the dog owner is held legally liable.

When these dogs kill again, Obama is liable—legally and morally liable. He has just loosed five psychopathic serial-killers. No trial. No reasonable explanation. Just poof! Home they go with a suitcase full of souvenirs from Club Gitmo and a fresh new outlook on life.

And we get Bowe Bergdahl?

This stinks to high heaven.

Still, don't be distracted. That the evidence overwhelmingly indicates Bergdahl is both a deserter and traitor is relatively insignificant. That he appears personally responsible for the deaths of at least six honorable soldiers deployed to search for him after his desertion is largely irrelevant to the scandal. It's both a tragedy and a grave injustice, but it's immaterial.

It wouldn't matter if Bergdahl were Mother Teresa. This scandal isn't about Bowe Bergdahl. It's about a sitting United States president intentionally, overtly and criminally violating the National Defense Act to release five of the world's most dangerous Muslim terrorists—dubbed the jihadist “Dream Team”—thereby directly placing American lives in jeopardy.

Obama swore an oath to protect America “from all enemies, foreign and domestic,” not to protect all enemies, foreign and domestic, from America.

What will it take? When one or more of these “Gitmo Five” commit (or even take credit for) some future act of terrorism, will Congress do something then?

How many have to die? Do they have to be Americans or will foreign innocents do?

What will it take for our spineless U.S. Congress to overcome this deathly fear of being called "racist" and file articles of impeachment against Barack Hussein Obama?

If he's allowed to get away with this, he'll be allowed to get away with anything.

And don't think he doesn't know it.

Matt Barber is founder and editor-in chief of . He is an author, columnist, cultural analyst and an attorney concentrating in constitutional law. Having retired as an undefeated heavyweight professional boxer, Matt has taken his fight from the ring to the culture war. (Follow Matt on Twitter: @jmattbarber).