

The Gay 'Marriage' Gauntlet: Time to Choose

It's never fun to be proven right when warning of some impending wrong. Many in the pro-family movement have long stressed that the cultural Marxist left's belligerent push for the judicial fiction that is "gay marriage" was never about gaining "equal access" to this biologically exclusive male-female institution, as they profess, but, rather, is, and has always been, about control. While there are many layers to unfold, the almost instant explosion in government-sanctioned, anti-Christian extremism on display post *Obergefell v. Hodges*, confirms the poisonous three-fold agenda that underlies the "social justice" mob's flowery "marriage equality" propaganda. That is: 1) the ultimate destruction of marriage, 2) forced affirmation of sexual deviancy under penalty of law, and 3) the eventual criminalization of Christianity. **The destruction of marriage** Here's the bottom line: Homosexual activists don't want the white picket fence; they want to burn down the white picket fence. The endgame is not to achieve so-called "marriage equality" but, rather, to render marriage reality meaningless. Masha Gessen, a lesbian journalist, activist and author, expressly admitted this fact in a 2012 interview with ABC Radio: "It's a no-brainer that [homosexuals] should have the right to marry," she said. "But I also think equally that it's a no-brainer that the institution of marriage should not exist. ... [F]ighting for gay marriage generally involves lying about what we are going to do with marriage when we get there – because we lie that the institution of marriage is not going to change, and that is a lie." Homosexual activist and pornographer Clinton Fein echoes Gessen's candid sentiments: "Demand the institution [of marriage] and then wreck it," he once wrote. "James Dobson was right about our evil intentions," he quipped. "We just plan to be quicker than he thought." The goal is to water down marriage until marriage is

pointless. And as evidenced by the burgeoning legal push for polygamous and incestuous “marriages” – even for the “right” to “marry” a robot – sexual anarchists are well on their way to achieving this goal. **Forced affirmation of sexual deviancy**

Here’s what Christian America is already experiencing from coast to coast. On Wednesday, civil rights law firm Liberty Counsel filed a request for a stay and an appeal of U.S. District Judge David Bunning’s opinion ordering Rowan County Clerk Kim Davis to issue same-sex “marriage” licenses both in violation of her First Amendment right to religious free exercise and the biblical mandate that she must not participate in this explicitly sinful activity. Davis had been sued by the ACLU and two lesbian political activists. “The plaintiffs in this case only sought licenses from Ms. Davis after learning of her religious objections to same-sex ‘marriage,’ and they refuse to obtain a license elsewhere,” said Mat Staver, founder and chairman of Liberty Counsel. “Just as Justice Alito predicted in his dissent in Obergefell, secularists are trying to ‘stamp out every vestige of dissent’ by targeting people of faith who do not agree with same-sex ‘marriage.’” Judge Bunning wrote, “Davis remains free to practice her Apostolic Christian beliefs. She may continue to attend church twice a week, participate in Bible study and minister to female inmates at the Rowan County Jail. She is even free to believe that marriage is a union between one man and one woman, as many Americans do. However, her religious convictions cannot excuse her from performing the duties that she took an oath to perform as Rowan County Clerk,” the ruling said. “Judge Bunning’s decision equated Kim’s free exercise of religion to going to church. This is absurd!” responded Staver. “Christianity is not a robe you take off when you leave a sanctuary. The First Amendment guarantees Kim and every American the free exercise of religion, even when they are working for the government. “Kim Davis did not sign up as a clerk to issue same-sex ‘marriage’ licenses. Her job duty was changed by five lawyers without any constitutional authority. At a minimum, her religious convictions should be

accommodated," concluded Staver. Indeed, Davis' oath as county clerk was to defend and protect the U.S. Constitution and the constitution of Kentucky. As Chief Justice John Roberts rightly observed in his Obergefell dissent, the activist majority's opinion actually hijacks the democratic process and is in no way rooted in the Constitution: "[D]o not celebrate the Constitution," he said. "It had nothing to do with it." The fact is that if Ms. Davis were to issue counterfeit same-sex "marriage" licenses, she would not only be disobeying God and directly participating in expressly sinful activity, she would be violating her constitutional oath. **The criminalization of Christianity** To her credit, Ms. Davis is standing her ground while the decision is appealed. Predictably, many leftists are now clamoring for her imprisonment. They want her held in contempt of court and thrown in jail for refusing to at once affirm homosexual sin and violate God's commands. This is the new pagan orthodoxy. It's "here, it's queer, get used to it." Meanwhile, Alliance Defending Freedom (ADF) reports on "a Colorado Court of Appeals decision Thursday in Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Craig, regarding a cake artist who declined to use his artistic abilities to promote and endorse their same-sex ceremony even though other cake artists were willing to do the job." "Americans are guaranteed the freedom to live and work consistent with their faith," observed ADF attorney Jeremy Tedesco. "Government has a duty to protect people's freedom to follow their beliefs personally and professionally rather than force them to adopt the government's views. Jack simply exercised the long-cherished American freedom to decline to use his artistic talents to promote a message with which he disagrees. The court is wrong to deny Jack his fundamental freedoms." The court affirmed an earlier order wherein Phillips and his Christian staff were not only ordered to bake homosexual "wedding" cakes against their will, but were additionally forced into pro-homosexual "sensitivity" propaganda classes. And if they refuse? Then they go to jail. That's how it works. Christian free exercise isn't outlawed

all at once. Judges across our fruited plain simply order from the bench that millions of Christians, just like Kim Davis and Jack Phillips, must either deny recognition of God's natural order and Christ's admonition to "go and sin no more," or face prison for "contempt of court." Welcome to America 2015, where evil is good, men are women, judges are tyrants, and Christians are persona non grata. There is no more in between. The anti-Christ left has thrown down the "gay marriage" gauntlet. It's either God or man. "But if serving the LORD seems undesirable to you, then choose for yourselves this day whom you will serve. ... But as for me and my household, we will serve the LORD" (Joshua 24:15). Whom will you serve? *This article originally appeared at .*