

President Trump to Sue Multiple States on Strong Legal Merits

On Friday, the Supreme Court issued a short dismissal of the Texas election case against Georgia, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin.

“The State of Texas’s motion for leave to file a bill of complaint is denied for lack of standing under Article III of the Constitution. Texas has not demonstrated a judicially cognizable interest in the manner in which another State conducts its elections. All other pending motions are dismissed as moot.”

The media proclaimed this ended President Donald J. Trump’s challenge.

It did not.

The Media Is Wrong

A “lack of standing” does not mean a lack of merit of the cause of action. The Texas case has merit, and Trump has standing to bring that challenge. He could and should file the same challenge in the swing states.

Trump’s standing for legal challenges continue.

As the candidate who suffered injury from a fraudulent election process, Trump is the best plaintiff to bring the election cases before the Supreme Court.

But Trump would not be able to file directly at the Supreme Court, as Texas did. He will have to start at the lower court level. However, the case could progress to the Supreme Court at lightning speed. In fact, the Pennsylvania case has been at

the Supreme Court for a couple weeks.

While some cases can take years to reach the Supreme Court, cases presenting emergencies like the election cases will move quickly. For example, Liberty Counsel has been to the Supreme Court four times since May regarding our church closure cases.

What About Merits?

Because the high court rejected the Texas case over standing, it did not review the merits of the case. The merits should be brought by Trump.

And there is no question on the merits that the Pennsylvania secretary of state and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court lacked the authority to change the time and manner of the elections with respect to mail-in ballots. Such a move is solely the prerogative of the state legislature.

Up until 2020, when the Democrats made this a partisan issue, the universal understanding of mail-in ballots was that they are easily susceptible to fraud. Loosening the requirements on mail-in ballots increased the fraud.

Does All This Legal Wrangling Really Matter?

Yes! And here's why.

In each of the four states in question, the number of fraudulent votes far exceeds the margins by which Joe Biden purportedly won.

Georgia: Biden "won" by 12,670, but 66,247 votes were illegally cast by kids who aren't even old enough to vote yet.

Pennsylvania: Biden "won" by 82,000 votes, but over 600,000 votes were illegally cast, due to the secretary of state illegally changing voting laws without the legislature's consent—and then outright defying a Supreme Court order.

Michigan: Biden “won” by 150,000 votes, but over 500,000 votes were illegally cast and counted without observation in Wayne County alone, and another county had already reported a software “glitch” that changed 6,000 Trump votes to Biden votes.

Wisconsin: Biden “won” by 20,400 votes, but over 200,000 votes were illegally cast and counted.

These facts alone are enough to demonstrate the merits of the case. And as you already know from my earlier reports, there is even more well-documented and highly credible evidence proving even more voter fraud throughout these four battleground states.

What’s Next?

On Dec. 12, the president’s legal team announced they will file election dispute cases in each of the states referenced in the Texas case—Georgia, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin.. Additionally, the team will also file similar suits in Arizona and Nevada.

As you know, Liberty Counsel has staff assigned to collecting, researching and preserving evidence in the battle for free and fair elections. And, as I’ve mentioned before, one of our attorneys served on the ground in Pennsylvania as an official observer for the president’s election team.

We continue this effort even as we continue to defend the pastors and churchgoers facing criminal charges, jail time and massive fines simply for opening and attending church. We have multiple lawsuits pending on state and federal levels as we continue to make our way to the full Supreme Court for a review of the merits in these critically important First Amendment freedom of religion cases.

It has been a long and arduous year battling for the nation’s soul at Liberty Counsel, and I am so thankful you have stood

with us through it all. {eo}

Mat Staver is founder and chairman of Liberty Counsel, a 501(c)(3), tax-exempt nonprofit organization. Contributions to the counsel are tax deductible to the extent permitted by law.