

George Soros: Yes, the Election Is Rigged

During an interview with Bloomberg last month, billionaire financier of liberal-progressive activism George Soros claimed Donald Trump would win November's popular vote in a landslide—but Hillary Clinton will be the next president of the United States.

At points in the interview, Soros was almost unintelligible with his comments. His answers in those moments were seemingly unrelated to the topic of the question, but he provided a couple moments of clarity when asked specifically about the outcome of the 2016 presidential election.

"I think it's all—it's going to lead to a landslide for Donald Trump in the popular vote," he said. "Not in the electoral vote, because, there, paid political announcements will have a big role.

"So the electoral thing will be closer, but the popular vote will be a landslide because we are a small minority of extremists. So we are all moving in that direction ... I don't think that Donald Trump has any chance of being elected."

Asked specifically if "Hillary Clinton is a done deal," Soros nodded his head and said, "Yes." He then added that he felt she was the person "most qualified" to serve as the American president.

He may have more insider knowledge than he was letting on in the interview, though. According to WikiLeaks—during "Cablegate," not the current release of Podesta Emails—Soros is linked directly to Smartmatic, the company that has provided voting machines to 16 states—the same kind of machines that were suspected of being used to rig the 2004 Venezuelan presidential election on Hugo Chavez's behalf.

The Daily Caller has reported on the situation more extensively.

Is it possible to win a “landslide” popular vote and lose the Electoral College? Yes. Clinton would only need to eke out pluralities of the popular vote in the most populous states to gain an electoral vote majority. What happens elsewhere would be completely irrelevant to her ability to win.

New England, Hawaii, Illinois, Virginia, the District of Columbia and the West Coast states already give her more than half of the electoral votes she needs to win. If the votes were swayed in just four other states—Florida, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin—she would have more than the 270 needed to win the Electoral College.

Now, how the rest of the country reacts to that scenario could be an entirely different matter.