

Could This Supreme Court Decision Be Struck Down After 2016?

Say goodbye next year to *Roe v. Wade*? A turnabout fervently to be desired, even if that prospect is used by NARAL to attempt to frighten donors to meet its latest fundraising goal.

How is the end of *Roe* to come about? Indirectly, according to Ilyse G. Hogue, NARAL Pro-Choice America, and (more or less) directly.

The Supreme Court has agreed to hear parts of Texas' 2013 omnibus pro-life H.B. 2 which (Hogue tells us) will "decide whether states are allowed to effectively ban abortion by simply shutting down every abortion clinic."

An affirmative decision would (alas) do nothing of the sort. It would require the abortion industry to adhere to basic safety considerations. That includes requiring that the abortion clinic have access to a local hospital for the inevitable complications that go with mucking around in the internal organs of women and that abortion clinics meet the standards of ambulatory surgical centers.

It would lessen the opportunities for abortionists to pay absolutely minimal attention to the safety of women.

What else will spell the end to *Roe v. Wade* in 2016—and more directly? "Then, voters will choose who will replace President Obama in the White House—and who will select as many as two or more Supreme Court justice," Hogue writes.

Seemingly, the next president will have the opportunity to nominate at least two replacement justices to the Supreme Court, although predicting retirements (or deaths) is always

chancy.

But electing a president who understands that *Roe*, as modified by *Casey*, is still awful constitutional jurisprudence, is essential. It was an awful decision that bent, folded and mutilated the Constitution and set the precedent for a flurry of attacks on infants born with disabilities and the medically vulnerable elderly.

And that is why our Movement will move heaven and earth next year to elect a president who respects the Constitution and appreciates that the unborn is one of us.