

14 Signs There Is a Plot to Use Russia as an Excuse to Steal the Presidency From Trump

Donald Trump could have the election legally stolen from him on either December 19 when the Electoral College casts their votes or on January 6 when a joint session of Congress gathers to count those votes.

The establishment is in full-blown panic mode at this point, and they seem to have settled on “Russian interference in the election” as the angle they plan to use to try to deny Trump the presidency.

As you will see below, there is an all-out effort to try to persuade members of the Electoral College that are supposed to be committed to Donald Trump to cast their votes for someone else instead. And if that doesn’t work, the groundwork is being laid for the Electoral College votes to potentially be invalidated when a joint session of Congress meets to count those votes on January 6. I will explain how that would work later on in this article, but first, let’s take a look at 14 signs that indicate there is a plot to use Russia as an excuse to steal the presidency from Donald Trump.

1. A group of 10 presidential electors has sent a letter to National Intelligence Director James Clapper asking to be briefed on Russian efforts to interfere in the election in November. This group is led by Nancy Pelosi’s daughter Christine.

2. The Clinton campaign is publicly supporting the effort to arrange for the members of the Electoral College to be given an “intelligence briefing” on Russian interference in the

election before they cast their votes.

3. White House spokesman Josh Earnest claims Donald Trump “called on Russia to hack his opponent” and that Trump “benefited from malicious Russian cyberactivity”.

4. Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell has announced that he supports an investigation into “Russian election interference.”

5. On Sunday, U.S. Senators Chuck Schumer, Jack Reed, John McCain and Lindsey Graham announced that they very much desire to see an investigation into Russian interference in the election.

6. U.S. Representative David Cicilline is urging electors to consider the “extent that foreign interference in the United States presidential elections may have influenced the final result” before they cast their votes.

7. U.S. Representative Jim Himes is calling Donald Trump “a danger to the republic” and is publicly encouraging members of the Electoral College to vote for someone other than Donald Trump on December 19. I don’t recall any member of Congress ever suggesting members of the Electoral College do such a thing in any other election.

8. *Time* magazine is openly lobbying for members of the Electoral College to vote for someone other than Donald Trump on December 19.

9. The former acting director of the CIA has boldly proclaimed that Russian attempts to alter the outcome of the election in November were “the political equivalent of 9/11”.

10. Former CIA agent Bob Baer appeared on CNN recently and publicly called for a new election if it can be shown that the first one was not legitimate due to Russian interference.

11. Former CIA operative and erstwhile presidential candidate

Evan McMullin says Donald Trump is “not a loyal American” because his views are not anti-Russian enough.

12. The Huffington Post is touting a 1995 federal court ruling as a precedent that could be used to take the presidency from Donald Trump and hand it to Hillary Clinton if a court finds that Russian interference altered the outcome of the election.

13. Hillary Clinton’s Communications Director Jennifer Palmieri is now claiming that a “foreign state” tried to hack her Gmail account just days before the election.

14. Barack Obama has ordered the intelligence community to gather all of the evidence it can find of Russian interference in the election and to deliver that evidence to him before he leaves office.

Most Trump supporters do not realize how serious this is. The establishment absolutely hates Trump and wants to find a way to keep him from taking office. Now that they have gained traction with this “Russian hacking” angle, they plan to push it as hard as they can. An article just posted by *Time* magazine explained why this issue could potentially cause some members of the Electoral College to change their votes:

And still further, we now learn that the Russians played a significant role in manipulating information available to the American people in a concerted effort to bring about the election of Trump. Even if this was not Trump’s doing, is it not the duty of the members of the Electoral College to consider whether the 2016 presidential election was undermined by a foreign power? And mustn’t it matter that the foreign power did so in order to bring about the election of a particular candidate? As Alexander Hamilton made clear, this was, one of the chief concerns of the framers. As Hamilton explained in The Federalist Papers, a primary reason for the Electoral College was the need to protect our nation against “the desire of foreign powers to gain an improper

ascendant in our councils ... by raising a creature of their own to the chief magistracy of the union."

Of course this is completely and utterly ridiculous, but this is the kind of mental gymnastics that they are going through right now. They want to feel justified in denying Donald Trump the presidency, and so they are going to make this "Russian interference in the election" into the biggest issue they possibly can.

Which is ironic, because as Paul Joseph Watson has pointed out, foreigners have been influencing our elections, and we have been influencing foreign elections for many, many years.

Isn't it funny how all you Democrats who cry over foreign influence had no objection whatsoever to Saudi Arabia bankrolling Hillary's campaign. You had no problem taking all that George Soros money, did you? You had no problem with the Obama State Department overthrowing the government of Ukraine. You had no problem with Obama interfering in the U.K.'s referendum on leaving the EU.

But ultimately, this is not about Russian interference in our election.

Rather, this is all about the elite doing whatever is necessary to stop Donald Trump. The elite are going to fight against him every step of the way, and they are never, ever going to give up. This is a point that I made during an interview with Alex Jones on Monday.

The next key date we need to be watching for is December 19.

On Monday, members of the Electoral College will gather in Washington D.C. and in all 50 state capitols to cast their votes. We know that at least one Republican elector that is supposed to be pledged to Trump will not be voting for him, and that elector claims that there are others who also will

not be voting for Trump.

If 37 Republican electors can be persuaded to cast their votes for someone other than Trump, that would throw the election into the House of Representatives, and it is unclear what the House would do in that scenario.

If Trump is not stopped at the Electoral College, there is also the possibility that he could be derailed when a joint session of Congress gathers to count the Electoral votes on January 6.

As I discussed yesterday, all it takes to force a vote on the validity of Electoral College votes is an objection in writing that is signed by at least one member of the House and one member of the Senate. As the official website explains, if both the House and the Senate vote to approve the objection, the votes covered by the objection are not counted:

Since 1887, 3 . 15 sets the method for objections to electoral votes. During the joint session, members of Congress may object to individual electoral votes or to state returns as a whole. An objection must be declared in writing and signed by at least one representative and one senator. In the case of an objection, the joint session recesses and each chamber considers the objection separately in a session that cannot last more than two hours, with each member speaking for no more than five minutes. After each house votes on whether or not to accept the objection, the joint session reconvenes and both chambers disclose their decisions. If they agree to the objection, the votes in question are not counted. If either chamber does not agree with the objection, the votes are counted.

In both the Senate and the House, there are anti-Trump Republicans who would absolutely cherish the opportunity to deny him the presidency.

I don't know if it will happen, but this Russian interference issue is the kind of thing that could be used to justify taking this kind of action.

Of course if the election were stolen from Donald Trump, that would likely throw the entire nation into a state of chaos. But I think at this point, the elite would be willing to risk just about anything to keep Donald Trump out of the White House. {eoa}